
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,769	08/17/2006	Ladislav Cvak	TEVGAL 3.3-020	2277
	7590 05/05/200 /ID, LITTENBERG,	EXAMINER		
KRUMHOLZ &	& MENTLIK		MABRY, JOHN	
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/589,769	CVAK ET AL.
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	JOHN MABRY	1625
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>JOHN MABRY</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>ECKENTHAL, AARON</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 May 2009	Time: <u>2:20 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: n/a		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/John Mabry/ Examiner, Art Unit 1625	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)



Application No. 10/589,769

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: POTENTIAL ABANDONMENT FOR FAILURE TO RESPOND - Examiner and Attny Aaron Eckenthal discussed via telephone if Applicant sent a Response to a Final Rejection mailed on September 25, 2008. Attny Eckenthal informed Examiner that Applicant did not send a Response because Applicant intends to abandon Application 10/589,769, filed on August 17, 2006.